SWOT analysis

Specific Objective Being Analyzed:
Our graduates unify engineering and science in their classrooms through cross-cutting concepts
OR
Our graduates have the following knowledge, skills, and dispositions: A, B, C...
OR
Our graduates integrate into their classrooms 1) engineering and scientific practices 2) disciplinary core ideas and 3) cross-cutting themes

NOT something like: Our graduates are awesome and get jobs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERNAL ORIGIN (ATTRIBUTES OF THE ORGANIZATION)</th>
<th>HELPFUL TO ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVE</th>
<th>HARMFUL TO ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS</td>
<td>WEAKNESSES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is particularly effective about our program for students, faculty, graduate students, school districts, and children as relates to the specific objective?</td>
<td>Where is our program ineffective in addressing this specific objective?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What resources do we have for our program (personnel, space, equipment)?</td>
<td>What resources do we need, but lack?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What annoys, frustrates, or disappoints our students? colleagues? university? schools? children? (As relates to this objective.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXTERNAL ORIGIN (ATTRIBUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENT)</th>
<th>OPPORTUNITIES</th>
<th>THREATS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are there new strategic plans or initiatives in the institution or state or country that we can jump on (Next Gen. Science Standards? Common Core?) Are budgets being cut?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is enrollment changing (increasing, reaching a different group of students, etc.)? What are other programs doing that we aren't? (and is this an opportunity to learn and adapt rather than a threat?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the institution interested in new educational opportunities (such as Are key faculty retiring? (and is this an opportunity and a threat?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SWOT matrix is a simple tool for beginning a systematic analysis of your program. SWOT stands for **Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats**; strengths and weaknesses are considered internal influences while opportunities and threats are considered external. The procedure originated in the business world as a tool for organizational management (Learned et al., 1965), but has become useful in many other fields.

We used our SWOT posters as a way to give us a snapshot of the state of Washington STEM teacher preparation. You have a template to begin this work on the next page.

Important things to keep in mind for an effective SWOT analysis:

- Start with a specific program objective. The more specific, the better - it's hard to define threats to "improving our program" for example, but it can be easier to define threats to "implementing the scientific and engineering practices in the Next Generation Science Standards" or "effectively graduating teachers who can implement the crosscutting concepts that unify the study of science and engineering." You may decide to complete several SWOT analyses for different program objectives.

- Today, you might be beginning this work as the only representative from your institution. When you return and work on completing a SWOT, create a SWOT-team that represents your program. Different people have different perceptions and viewpoints. For example, what you consider an opportunity might be considered a threat by others. To get input from as many people as you can, you might ask your team members to fill out the matrix individually and then come together in a team meeting, perhaps using a gallery walk. Alternatively, fill out the matrix as a group. The technique you use will depend on the nature of your team and program, but the key idea is to establish an environment in which everyone involved feels free to offer their point of view.

- Give your team time for discussion. While the analysis tools look simple, the process involved in compiling ideas, discussing the results, and strategizing for the future takes time.

- After you have filled in the matrix, you can **rank** the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats within it.

You may notice:

Matches between strengths and opportunities.

Vulnerabilities where weaknesses correlate with threats.

Ideas for converting threats to opportunities or weaknesses into strengths.
Today, focus on *internal* Strengths and Weaknesses that relate to a specific objective of your program.
Spend a few minutes writing down initial ideas, then share with colleagues at your table.
What commonalities do you see?
What differences? Do you see opportunities to leverage each others’ strengths?

First, identify the Objective you are analyzing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal Origin (Attributes of the Organization)</th>
<th>Helpful to Achieving the Objective</th>
<th>Harmful to Achieving the Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRENGTHS</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEAKNESSES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Origin (Attributes of the Environment)</td>
<td>OPPORTUNITIES</td>
<td>THREATS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Take the Next Steps with your SWOT analysis

The next steps start to make the transition from analysis to action. Consider the following questions:

- Do you need to revise your objective(s) based on your analysis?
- What evidence do you need to gather to make your case for change? (weaknesses)
- Who are your potential allies and what can you do to bring them on board?
- Who are your potential collaborators and what do they bring to the table?
- What are some possible resources, models, or tools you could utilize?
- Who are the key administrators you need to approach, and what are your strategies?
- What is your timeline for implementation?

And Finally:

- How will you know you’ve been successful? What does success look like?
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List 3 Actions to take when you return to campus:

1.

2.

3.